

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,568	02/23/2004	Srinivasan Ramasubramanian	08411-037001	8638
26191 FISH & RICH	7590 10/06/2008 ARDSON P.C.		EXAMINER PHAN, TUANKHANH D	
PO BOX 1022				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2163	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2008	EL ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/784,568	RAMASUBRAMANIAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TUAN-KHANH PHAN	2163		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) \(\overline{\text{\tiny{\text{\tiny{\text{\tiny{\text{\texitin}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\text{\text{\tiny{\tiny{\text{\tiny{\tinx{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tinx{\tiny{\tini\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tiny{\tin
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statulory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner hote: If box is checked, check lether box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-32.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR -1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other:
Wilson Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2163

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Issue I. The Applicants argue that Applicants have come up with a new and non-obvious way of efficient used in through networks such as ring or daisy-chain networks. In particular, Applicants' approach involves a fair and efficient use of new to have with a distribution of the transmission from each node, and in particular intermingling in each node the transmission of packets originating in the node (and stored in a node's "local buffer") and packets received by the node but destined for another node (and stored in the node's "transit buffer"). Applicants have done this through the use of transmission rounds or cycles.

Response I. In a ring or star topology of network and node-to-node transmission, the rounds of transmission are to be configured and recognized in such that it affects data packets sending and receiving from one's transit buffer to the next (see Figure 6 of Yamamoto).

Issue II. The Applicants argues that there is no disclosure or suggestion in Yamamoto of the last element of Applicants' claim 1 of transmitting data packets from the specific one node in the first direction in successive transmission rounds, wherein in each successive transmission round there is transmitted i) one or more data packets from the first transit buffer that each have the same assigned transit buffer round identifier, if any data packets are present in the first transit buffer, and ii) one or more data packets from the first local buffer, if any data packets are present in the first local buffer, if

Response II. The Examiner would like to assert that Yamamoto discloses one or more data packets form the frist transit buffer match with the own node number (para [0044] and if they do not match the own-node number, then, they are being forwarded (para [0045]).